

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SACRAMENTO DIVISION  
11

12 **O. Z. MARTIN,**

13 Plaintiff,

14 **v.**

15 **DR. PETRAS, et al.,**

16 Defendants.  
17  
18

2:20-cv-01536 WBS CKD P

**ORDER**

19 **GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, IT IS ORDERED** that Defendants' Administrative  
20 Motion to Conduct Deposition by Videoconference and for Relief from Court Reporter Physical  
21 Presence Requirement Under Fed. Rules Civ. P. 28 and 30 (ECF No. 77) is **GRANTED**. The  
22 Plaintiff's deposition may proceed by videoconference and the court reporter is not required to be  
23 in the same physical location as the Plaintiff during his deposition.

24 Dated: September 14, 2023



25 CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
27  
28